

Results of Spring 2005 Field Study Supervisor Ratings of Students Abilities

These data were conducted during the Spring 2005 "Field Study Fiesta," an informational and social gathering between Counselor Education Faculty and Field Study Supervisors. Raters were not asked to identify themselves.

Specializations Supervised by Field Study Supervisors

Specialization	Frequency	Percent
Community	2	20.0
Career	3	30.0
School	3	30.0
MFT	1	10.0
Multiple Specializations	1	10.0
Total	10	100.0

Type of Field Study Settings

Setting	Frequency	Percent
Community Counseling Agency	4	40.0
Elementary or Secondary School	3	30.0
College / University Counseling Center	3	30.0
Total	10	100.0

Mean Percent of Training Experience Devoted to Specific Counseling Function

	Mean % of Training Experience
Individual Counseling	45.00
Group Counseling	15.89
Supervision	12.00
Couples / family	6.00
Consultation	9.667
Diagnosis / Assessment	11.00
Research / Scholarly Writing	1.67
Teaching	10.00
Administration	8.38
Report Writing	7.40
Shool-based Meetings	5.00
Other	10.00

The following ratings reflect rating of students' skills and understanding related to key areas of Counseling. Ratings were made using a 1 (very inadequate) to 7 (Excellent) scale.

Ratings of Field Study Students' Individual Counseling Skills

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	5	50.0
7 Excellent Training	3	30.0
Total	10	100.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Group Counseling Skills

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
7 Excellent Training	1	10.0
Total	9	90.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Marriage Counseling Skills

	Frequency	Percent
3 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
Total	2	20.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Family Counseling Skills

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
Total	3	30.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Career Counseling Skills

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
7 Excellent Training	3	30.0
Total	8	80.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Understanding of Psychopathology

	Frequency	Percent
3 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
Total	4	40.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Understanding of Statistics and Research Design

	Frequency	Percent
3 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
Total	5	50.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Ability to Counsel Diverse Populations

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
7 Excellent Training	3	30.0
Total	10	100.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Conceptualization of Ethical / Legal Issues

	Frequency	Percent
3 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	4	40.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
7 Excellent Training	1	10.0
Total	10	100.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Assessment Skills

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	4	40.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	4	40.0
Total	10	100.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Ability to Maintain a Broad Theoretical Knowledge

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	5	50.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
Total	10	100.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Integration of theory, research, and practice

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	4	40.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
7 Excellent Training	1	10.0
Total	9	90.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Professional Identity

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	4	40.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
7 Excellent Training	1	10.0
Total	10	100.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Professional Research and Writing

	Frequency	Percent
3 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
Total	5	50.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Program Evaluation Skills

	Frequency	Percent
3 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
Total	6	60.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Consulting Skills

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
7 Excellent Training	2	20.0
Total	8	80.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Understanding of Human Development

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	4	40.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
7 Excellent Training	1	10.0
Total	8	80.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Knowledge of Current Health Care Market

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
7 Excellent Training	1	10.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Participation in service to the profession

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	4	40.0
Total	8	80.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Community outreach and education

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	3	30.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
Total	7	70.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Supervision

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
Total	5	50.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Learning to teach

	Frequency	Percent
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
7 Excellent Training	1	10.0
Total	3	30.0

Ratings of Field Study Students' Overall Evaluation of Your Training at CSUS

	Frequency	Percent
4 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	1	10.0
5 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	4	40.0
6 (1=Very inadequate 7=Excellent)	2	20.0
7 Excellent Training	2	20.0
Total	9	90.0